I strongly support Alvarez's proposal that Atrypa laevis be designated the type species of Meristella, as it has for very many years been the basis of the concept of Meristella. The original type species by monotypy, Atrypa naviformis Hall, 1843, is very poorly known. I write as one who has used Meristella in his work for many years and who was the senior author for the section on retrospiroides, including Meristella, in the first edition of the Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology; I confirm that M. laevis was what we had in mind when describing Meristella.

(2) C.H.C. Brunton

Palaeontology Department, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K.

I have read with interest Alvarez's application, and regard it as sound and worthy of support.

(3) A.D. Wright

School of Geosciences, Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland, U.K.

I am writing to express support for Alvarez's application; this will provide stability to what has been an accepted situation for a long time, particularly since the publication of the 1965 *Treatise* volume.

Comment on the proposed conservation of the specific name of *Hemidactylus garnotti* Duméril & Bibron, 1836 (Reptilia, Squamata) (Case 2960; see BZN 53: 184–186)

Hidetosho Ota

Tropical Biosphere Research Center, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara, Okinawa 903-01, Japan

I am in full support of the application to conserve the specific name of *Hemidactylus garnotii* Duméril & Bibron, 1836 by suppressing the specific name of *H. peruvianus* Wiegmann, 1835.

The name *garnotii* has been repeatedly used in most, if not all, relevant publications, including several taxonomic and genetic papers published subsequent to Bauer & Henle (1994) (para. 6 of the application). Among these are Ota, Lau & Bogadek (1995) and Ota et al. (1996).

Adoption of the name *H. peruvianus* would be seriously confusing, not only to systematists but also to geneticists dealing with this clonal gecko. I believe that, in consideration of the stability of nomenclature, an exception to priority is warranted under Article 79c of the Code.